



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/441,142	11/12/1999	WILLIAM R. MURRAY JR.	94111-3834	3912
25714	7590 05/09/2002			
ACCO BRANDS, INC.			EXAMINER	
C/O MCCUTCHEN, DOYLE, BROWN & ENERSEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER			GALL, LLOYD A	
	25TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3676	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del> </del>					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No. Applicant(s)  OP/HH((H) Murray, Ir. et a (				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	L(gyd Gal(   3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status	. /				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3(2				
This action is FINAL.	•				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 8 H	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) 8 4	- 9 3 is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	• •				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li></ul>					
☐ received in this national stage application from the Interr	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:	·				
Attachment(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
☑Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Ecomor Notice Regarding Treatment of				
Office A	Trigaiated Chrestobanaents				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/441,142 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

## DETAILED ACTION

At the outset, it is noted that since claim 83 was previously canceled, newly filed 1. claims 83-92 have been re-numbered as claims 84-93 under Rule 1.126. It is also noted that the references on the attached PTO-1449 which have been crossed-out, have already been made of record in the application.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 84-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 84-93 recite the limitations "slot engagement member", "slot engaging portion", "locking member" and "pin". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the written description of elected figures 14 and 15. It is noted that the term "pin" is not referred to in the description of fig. 14.

Also, in claim 89, line 7, there is no antecedent basis for "said pin" (note that "pin" is later claimed in claim 89, line 8).

Claims 84-93 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double 4. patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,502,989. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they substantially claim the same subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/441,142 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

5. Claims 84-93 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,155,088. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they substantially claim the same subject matter.

- 6. Applicants are also required to maintain a clear line of demarcation between the claims of this application and the various continuing applications and patents of which the applicants are coinventors, and update the examiner with any information as to possible double patenting rejections, if this application is in a condition for allowance.
- 7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/441,142 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

9. Claims 84-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carl et al (5,381,685).

Carl et al teaches a portable electronic device including a slot for cooperation with a housing 36, a slot engagement member 52, a slot engagement portion (the portion of member 52 which is received in the computer slot, a locking member 54 movable relative to the slot between unlocked and locked positions, and a pin 60 coupled to the housing and within the slot when the slot engagement portion is in the locked position, and a locking cable for attaching to another object. The pin 60 extends through the housing and the slot engaging portion is regarded as complementary to dimensions of the slot.

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 84-93 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/441,142 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd Gall whose telephone number is (703) 308-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight, can be reached at (703) 308-3179. The fax number for this group is (before Final) 703-872-9326 and (after final) 703-872-9327.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be directed to steven.meyers@uspto.gov.

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed expressed waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 308-2168.

Application/Control Number: 09/441,142

Art Unit: 3676

LG May 3, 2002 Lloyd A. Gall Primary Examiner Page 6